



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,695 08/02/2002		08/02/2002	Niels Rump	13189.136	3855	
22862	7590	02/23/2006		EXAMINER		
GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY, SUITE L			HENNING, MATTHEW T			
MENLO PARK, CA 94025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2131		

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,695	RUMP ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Matthew T. Henning	2131
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply		,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	ugust 2002.	
,	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2002</u> is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority document  2. □ Certified copies of the priority document  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/02; 4/22/02.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695

1	This action is in response to the communication filed on 8/2/2002.
2	DETAILED ACTION
3	Claims 1-16 have been examined.
4	Title
5	The title of the invention is acceptable.
6	Information Disclosure Statement
7	The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 1/11/2002 and 4/22/2002 are
8	in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the examiner is considering the
9	information disclosure statements.
10	Drawings
11	The drawings filed on 8/2/2002 are acceptable for examination proceedings.
12	Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112
13	The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
14 15 16	The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
17	Claims 4, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being
18	indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which
19	applicant regards as the invention.
20	Claim 4 recites the limitation "the encrypted multimedia data" in line 3. There is
21	insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner will assume the
22	limitation was meant to read "the encrypted data".
23	The term "essentially concurrently" in claims 8 and 15 is a relative term which renders
24	the claim indefinite. The term "essentially concurrently" is not defined by the claim, the

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

25

26

specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of 1 ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. One of 2 3 ordinary skill in the art would not be able to determine what would be considered essentially concurrent and therefore could not ascertain the scope of the claim. As such the claims are 4 rejected for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicants 5 6 regard as the invention. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 7 8 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 9 basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: 10 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 11 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or 12 (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the 13 invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the 14 15 treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United 16 States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language. 17 18 Claims 1, 3, 11-12, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 19 20 Saito (US Patent Number 6,744,894). 21 Regarding claims 1 and 12, Saito disclosed a method for generating an encrypted user data stream, which has a start block and a user data block (See Saito Fig. 4G), comprising the 22 following steps: generating the start block (See Saito Col. 8 Paragraph 8); and generating the 23 user data block by means of the following substeps: using a first part of the user data to be 24

encrypted as start section for the user data block, the start section being unencrypted (See Saito

Fig. 4G and Col. 8 Paragraphs 6-10); encrypting a second part of user data to be encrypted

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 2131

23

24

25

which follow the first part (See Saito Fig. 4G); and appending the encrypted user data to the 1 unencrypted start section (See Saito Fig. 4G). 2 3 Regarding claim 3, Saito disclosed that the second part does not comprise all the user 4 data to be encrypted and wherein the step of generating the user data block includes the following substep: appending a third part of user data to be encrypted, which follow the second 5 6 part, to the encrypted user data of the second part, the user data of the third part being 7 unencrypted (See Saito Fig. 4G and Col. 8). 8 Regarding claims 11, and 17, Saito disclosed the data as audio or video data (See Saito 9 Col. 8 Paragraph 2). Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 10 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 11 12 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: 13 A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or 14 described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have 15 been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to 16 which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which 17 the invention was made. 18 19 20 Claims 2, and 4-6, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 21 over Saito. 22 Saito disclosed generating the start block (See the rejection of claim 1 above) but failed

to specifically disclose entering the length of the start section in the start block. However, Saito did disclose that the header data needed to contain information that would all the content to be recognized. Furthermore, it was well known at the time of invention that header data included

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695 Page 5

Art Unit: 2131

the various lengths of portions of the data associated with the header. Also, it was well known to include a total length for the content in the header. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ what was known in the art at the time of invention by adding the lengths of the various portions of the content in Fig. 4G to the header and the total length. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to allow the content to be recognized.

Regarding claims 6-7 and 13-14, Saito disclosed a method for playing back an encrypted multimedia data stream, which has a start block and a user data block, where a start section of the user data block, which follows the start block, contains unencrypted user data and where a further section of the user data block contains encrypted user data, where the start block contains information which is needed to play back the start section of the user data block and where the start block contains information which is not needed to play back the unencrypted start section of the user data block (See Saito Fig. 4G and Col. 8), comprising the following steps: processing the information of the start block which is needed to play back the start section of the user data block (See Saito Col. 8 Paragraph 2), processing the information of the start block which is not needed to play back the unencrypted start section (See Saito Col. 8 Paragraphs 2-10); and decrypting the further section of the user data block using the processed information of the start block (See Saito Col. 8 Paragraphs 2-10); but failed to disclose specifically playing back the data. However, it is implied that the data was meant to be played back since Saito disclosed that the data was video data (See Saito Col. 8 Paragraph 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695

Art Unit: 2131

20

Regarding claim 10, Saito disclosed that the data was encoded (See Saito Col. 2 1 Paragraph 2) and it was therefore obvious that the type of coding was indicated in the header 2 data in order to recognize the data. 3 Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito as 4 applied to claims 7 and 14 above, and further in view of Downs et al. (US Patent Number 5 6 6,226,618). Saito disclosed the different portions of header data (See the rejection of claim 6 above), 7 but failed to disclose concurrent processing of the encrypted data while playing back the 8 9 unencrypted data. Downs teaches that concurrently decrypting the data while playing unencrypted data 10 makes the decryption more efficient since the entire file does not need to be decrypted prior to 11 beginning playback (See Downs Col. 82 Paragraph 5). 12 It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of 13 invention to employ the teachings of Downs in the decryption system of Saito by concurrently 14 playing and decrypting. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in 15 the art would have been motivated to increase the efficiency of the decryption system. 16 Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito as 17 applied to claims 6 and 13 above, and further in view of Rump et al. (DE 196 25 635 C1). 18 Saito disclosed encrypted and unencrypted portions of the content (See Saito Fig. 4G) but 19

failed to disclose the length of the unencrypted portion.

Application/Control Number: 09/913,695

Art Unit: 2131

Page 7

Rump teaches that unencrypted data can be used as sample data for the content and that the data should be 20 seconds in length (See Rump Col .2 Last Paragraph to Col .3 First paragraph). It would have been obvious to the ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of invention to employ the teachings of Rump in the content encryption system of Saito by providing 20 seconds of unencrypted data as sample data. This would have been obvious because the ordinary person skilled in the art would have been motivated to allow the user to sample the content before purchasing the content. 

Art Unit: 2131

Claims 1-16 have been rejected.  Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Matthew T. Henning whose telephone number is (571) 272-3790
The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4.
If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIF
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).
$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{k}}$
Matthew Henning Assistant Examiner Art Unit 2131 2/17/2006  Privary Examiner  N Z131 2/17/2006